

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,363	07/16/2002	Karl Frauhammer	10191/2234	9974
26646 7:	590 09/12/2003			
KENYON & KENYON			EXAMINER	
•	ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004		SAETHER, FLEMMING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Qt-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/049,363	FRAUHAMMER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Flemming Saether	3679
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
Period for Reply	SERVICE OFF TO EVENEE A MONT	FLVO) FDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply beion. 5, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS is statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	the timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>06 August 2002</u> .	
_	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal matters inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2002 is/ar	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in Appli	cation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do		
 a) The translation of the foreign langua 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for defeating the control of the control		
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	48) 5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

. Application/Control Number: 10/049,363

Art Unit: 3679

Sp cification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 1, line 21; the specification should not refer to the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the same reference numerals refer to different features. Specifically, different reference numerals should be used in each embodiment. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 10 and 16, are indefinite because it is unclear which embodiment applicant intends to claim. Specifically, the claims require two limbs which are shown in the embodiment of Fig. 1 while at the same time, the claims require a web near an end of one of the limbs which is show in the embodiment

. Application/Control Number: 10/049,363

Art Unit: 3679

of Fig. 2. It is not seen how there could be two limbs with a web at an end of one of the limbs. The claims were examined as being directed to the embodiment of Fig. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sickles (US 2,450,306). Looking at the embodiment of Fig. 5, Sickles discloses a snap ring comprising "two" limbs (56) having lugs (60, 54) with flat ends aligned with a center of the ring, a centering ring member (52) and, a web (not labeled) connecting the centering member to an end of one of the limbs. Since the claims are directed to the "snap ring" any reference to the shaft is only an intended use.

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartholomew (WO 97/12170). Looking at Figs. 7 and 8, Bartholomew discloses a snap ring comprising a clip having two legs (64) at an end of each is a lug (68) having flat opposing sides while at the other end, of the limbs is situated a web (66) connecting the limbs to a centering ring member.

. Application/Control Number: 10/049,363

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartholomew as applied to claim10, 13 and 14 above, and further in view of Engelmann (US 3,442,171). Engelmann discloses the ends of the two limbs having lugs with flat surfaces radially aligned with a center (see Fig. 12 and 14). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the flat surfaces on the lugs of Bartholomew radially aligned with the center as disclosed in Engelmann since that would allow for the legs to flex more inward for the snap ring to be easily inserted onto a groove.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (APA) in view of Bartholomew. In the "Background Information" the APA describes a method wherein a snap rings is inserted into a groove to retain a sealing ring but, does not describe the specifics of the snap ring.

Bartholomew discloses a snap ring as described above. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use a snap ring as disclosed in Bartholomew in an application as described in the APA since the snap ring in Bartholomew would provide for easy installation and removal.

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Flemming Saether
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3679